(4-658 a.)

FINAL HOMESTEADS.
Register and Receiver's Report.
H. E/656F. C. 964 District Officeuce
1. Was proof prematurely made ? Ans
(Instructions 1.)  2. Was proof made after 7 years from date of entry? Ansile
If so, did you apply Instructions 2? Ans.
Was notice definite as to time, place, and officer to take the proof? Ans.
Was proof taken (a) by officer advertised? And the Was proof taken (b) on day advertised? Ans.
Was proof taken (c) at place advertised? Ans. Lilly,
Was land properly described in published notice? Ans. 49. Were names of witnesses properly published? Ans.
(See Instructions 3.) 4. Was officer legally qualified to take the proof? AnsULL.
(See Instructions 4.)
5. Was all the proof taken before the same officer? Ans. 46. 6. Has he properly signed and attested the proof papers?
7. Have you signed all necessary papers? Ans. U.S.
8. Are names of claimant and witnesses properly signed to all
the papers? Ans. 1989. 9. Do they agree with prolished notice? Ans. 1989
10. Have you compared description and names in the original proof and shal entry papers and found them correct?
11. Are proof of publication and posting of notice correct?
(No interlineations or erasures of published notice will be permitted.
Fernandez, 6 L. D., 379.)
12. Are any papers lost, rot dated, not signed, or sealed, if necessary. Ans.
13. Was any witness substituted? Ans M
<ul><li>14. Are all absences fully explained? Ans.</li><li>15. If claimant fully haveralized, are original papers furnished?</li></ul>
If not, did officer taking proof certify a copy of original
papers (not a copy of a copy)? Ans
16. Was residence established within 6 months from date of entry? Ans.
If not, require reason for failure, and if sufficient excuse is given, issue certificate, as in other cases.
(Nilson vs. St. P., M. & M. R'y, 6 L. D., 567.)
17. Have you any doubt of claimant's taying complied in good faith with the law?. Ans.
18. Have you any reliable information outside of the record

(See Certificate on back.)