(4-658 a.)

FINAL HOMESTEADS.
Register and Receiver's Report.
H. E/97 F. C.247 District Mauce
1. Was proof prematurely made? Ans / W
(Instructions 1.)
2. Was proof made after 7 years from date of entry? And
If so, did you apply Instructions 2? Ans.
3. State No. of weekly insertions of published notice. Ans.——— Was notice definite as to time, place, and officer to take the
proof? Ans.
Was proof taken (a) by officer advertised? Ans
Was proof taken (b) on day advertised? Ans.
Was proof taken (c) at place advertised? Ans.
Was land properly described in published notice? Anson
Were names of witnesses properly published?
4. Was officer legally qualified to take the proof? Ans
5. Was all the proof taken before the same officer? And
6. Has he properly signed and attested the proof papers 2 478.
7. Have you signed all necessary papers? Ans
8. Are names of claimant and witnesses properly signed to all the
papers? Ans.
9. Do they agree with published notice? Ans.
10. Have you compared description and names in the original proof
and final entry papers and found them correct?
11. Are proof of publication and posting of notice correct? The (No interlineations or erasures of published notice will be permitted. Fernandez, 6 L. D., 379.)
12. Are any papers lost, not aged, not signed, or sealed, if neces-
sary? Ans.
13. Was any witness substituted? Ans.
14. Are all absences fully explained? Ans.
15. If claimant full naturalized are original papers furnished? Ans.
If not, did officer taking proof certify a copy of original papers
(not a copy of a copy)? Ans.
16. Was residence established within 6 months from date of entry? Ans. Company of the company o
If not, require reason for failure, and if sufficient excuse is
given, issue certificate, as in other cases.
(Nilson vs. St. P., M. & M. R'y, 6 L. D., 567.) 17. Have you any doubt of claimant having complied in good
faith with the law? Ans.
18. Have you any reliable information outside of the record which
casts suspicion on this entry? Ans.
(See Certificate on back.)